arXiv:0804.0908v2 [hep-th] 4 Mar 2009

Canonical description of $D = 10$ superstring formulated in supertwistor space

D.V. Uvarov[1]

National Science Center
Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology,
61108 Kharkov, Ukraine

Abstract

Canonical description of the $D = 10$ superstring action involving supertwistor variables generalizing Penrose-Ferber supertwistors is developed. Primary and secondary constraints are identified and arranged into the first- and second-class sets. Dirac brackets are introduced and the deformation of the Poisson bracket algebra of the first-class constraints is studied. The role of the deformation parameter is played by α'.

Keywords: superstring, supertwistor, gauge symmetry.
PACS numbers: 11.25.-w, 11.30.Pb

1 Introduction

Twistors [1] and supertwistors [2] are known to find one of the interesting applications in describing the models of point-like and extended relativistic objects [3]-[25]. Such (super)twistor formulations based on the introduction of commuting spinor variables represent a valuable alternative to the conventional (super)space formulation and allow to overcome the problem of handling $\kappa-$symmetry and streamline the covariant quantization in the case of (super)particle models. Thus incorporating supertwistors into the string theory could also be useful in quest of a solution of the long-standing problem of Green-Schwarz (GS) superstring covariant quantization. However, twistor description of (supersymmetric) models of extended objects attracted much less attention until the twistor strings [26], [27][2] have been proposed in the context of gauge fields/string correspondence. Although twistor string models appear to be interesting objects for study and stimulated recent progress in perturbative (super-)Yang-Mills and gravitation [40] they differ from the GS superstrings.

In recent years the progress in solving the problem of superstring covariant quantization was mainly due to Berkovits formalism [41]. Its key ingerdient is the BRST operator involving 10-dimensional pure spinor field with commuting complex components that plays the role of the ghost field for the fermionic constraints of the GS superstring and can also be viewed as the half of twistor [42]. The main advantage of the Berkovits approach is the possibility to find covariant expressions for the string scattering amplitudes [41], [43], [44]. It is worthwhile to note that originally pure spinors appeared as the world-sheet superpartners of superspace Grassmann coordinates θ^α in the heterotic string formulation [45], [46] with $n = 2$ local world-sheet supersymmetry[3]. In the formulation of Refs. [45], [46] pure spinors can also be interpreted as the pair of elements from the basis in the auxiliary spinor space extending $D = 10$ $N = 1$ superspace. An interesting problem of finding the relation between the

---

[1] E-mail: d_uvarov@hotmail.com, uvarov@kipt.kharkov.ua

[2] See also [28]-[30] and [31]-[39] for other twistor string models corresponding to $4d$ gauge theories and supergravities.

[3] Pure spinors also turned out to be useful in the study of the geometry of superfield constraints in super-Yang-Mills and supergravity theories [47], [48].

Berkovits model and the GS superstrings or their classically equivalent reformulations is being investigated since the year 2000 (see [49] and references therein).

Taking into account the above mentioned results that indicate important role played by spinors/twistors in the quantum theory of superparticles and superstrings we have started to study the twistor formulation for GS superstrings in dimensions D=4,6,10 [50], [51] aiming at getting novel insights into the covariant qunatization problem. Since commuting spinor variables, the necessary ingredients of twistors, are absent in the original GS superstring action we considered, similarly to the twistor transform procedure for (super)particles, the classically equivalent first-order superstring action [52], where such bosonic spinors form the basis in auxiliary space – the space of Lorentz harmonics [53]-[58]. Note that $D = 4$ spinor Lorentz harmonics are nothing but the normalized Newman-Penrose dyad [59]. The presence of Lorentz harmonic variables in the Lagrangian allows to realize $\kappa-$symmetry transformations in the irreducible form. In the formulations of [45], [46] pure spinors in the similar way provide irreducible realization of the part of $\kappa-$symmetries. Detailed discussion of the relation between the superstring formulations involving Lorentz harmonics and those with local world-sheet supersymmetry can be found in [60].

The supertwistors appearing after the twistor transform of the first-order action [52] coincide for the $D = 4$ case with those introduced by Ferber [2][4], while in higher dimensions [29], [20] they realize the fundamental representation of the (generalized) superconformal group, include spinor harmonics as their projectional parts and the Grassmann-odd components of supertwistors are represented by the Lorentz scalars that is attractive feature from the perspective of fixing the gauge freedom related to the $\kappa-$symmetry. In [50], [51] there was found the supertwistor representation for $D = 4, 6, 10$ superstring Lagrangian characterized by the nondegenerate kinetic term for the supertwistor components, derived the equations of motion, and obtained the supertwistor realization of the $\kappa-$symmetry transformations.

Since the superstring Lagrangian after the twistor transform is nonlinear like in the space-time formulation and the supertwistors are constrained variables the canonical formalism appears to be the most suitable one for further investigation. That is why here we move to the canonical description of the $D = 10$ superstring model formulated in terms of supertwistors. In Section 2 we identify the constraints that arise in the process of transition to the Hamiltonian formulation and classify them on the first- and second-class ones. Up to that step our consideration can be viewed as the twistor counterpart of the canonical treatment of Lorentz-harmonic superstring in the superspace formulation [52]. Then in Section 3 we proceed to propose the basis for the second-class constraints for which the Dirac matrix acquires block diagonal structure on the constraint shell, introduce the Dirac brackets (D.B.) and evaluate D.B. algebra of the first-class constraints.

2 Total Hamiltonian and the first-class constraints

Based of the classification of superconformal algebras in various dimensions [63] it was suggested in [20], [51] to define $D = 10$ supertwistor as transforming in the fundamental representation of the $OSp(32|1)$ supergroup

---

[4] Recently alternative to Ferber construction of the supertwistors has been proposed [61], where only conformal superPoincare symmetry is manifest and odd supertwistor components are given by the complex Lorentz vectors related to those appearing in the particle and string models with world-line/world-sheet supersymmetry. Since superstring Lagrangian, due to the presence of the dimensionful tension parameter, is not invariant under conformal transformations it could be of interest to consider its formulation in terms of such alternative supertwistors or their higher-dimensional generalizations [62], [58].

$$Z^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = (\mu^{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}, \eta). \tag{1}$$

Thus it is composed of the primary spinor μ^{α} and projectional v_{α} parts that are 16-component MW spinors of opposite chiralities and the Grassmann-odd scalar η. Such definition generalizes the basic property of Ferber supertwistors [2] to realize the fundamental representation of $SU(2,2|N)$ locally isomorphic to the $N-$extended superconformal group in 4 dimensions. Twistor transform for the $D=10$ superstring in the formulation with irreducible realization of the $\kappa-$symmetry leads one to consider two sets of the supertwistors

$$Z_A^{\mathbf{\Lambda}+} = (\mu_A^{\alpha+}, v_{\alpha A}^{+}, \eta_A^{+}), \quad Z_{\dot{A}}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}-} = (\mu_{\dot{A}}^{\alpha-}, v_{\alpha\dot{A}}^{-}, \eta_{\dot{A}}^{-}), \tag{2}$$

whose projectional parts are identified with the spinor harmonic matrix $v_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = (v_{\alpha A}^{+}, v_{\alpha\dot{A}}^{-}) \in Spin(1,9)$ decomposed into two blocks carrying $SO(1,1)$ indices ± and transforming in the spinor representations of $Spin(8)$ $A, \dot{A} = 1,...,8$ in accordance with that the embedding of the string world-sheet into the $D=10$ space-time spontaneously breaks $SO(1,9)$ symmetry down to $SO(1,1)\times SO(8)$. The $D=10$ generalization of the Penrose-Ferber incidence relations[5]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A^{\alpha+} &= (X^{\alpha\beta} - 8i\theta^{\alpha}\theta^{\beta})v_{\beta A}^{+}, \quad \eta_A^{+} = 4v_{\alpha A}^{+}\theta^{\alpha}, \\ \mu_{\dot{A}}^{\alpha-} &= (X^{\alpha\beta} - 8i\theta^{\alpha}\theta^{\beta})v_{\beta\dot{A}}^{-}, \quad \eta_{\dot{A}}^{-} = 4v_{\alpha\dot{A}}^{-}\theta^{\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

involves arbitrary 16×16 matrix $X^{\alpha\beta} = x^m\tilde{\sigma}_m^{\alpha\beta} + z^{m_1m_2m_3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m_1m_2m_3}^{\alpha\beta} + z^{m_1\ldots m_5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m_1\ldots m_5}^{\alpha\beta}$ that contains, except for $D=10$ Minkowski coordinates x^m, antisymmetric tensor coordinates $z^{m_1m_2m_3}$ and $z^{m_1\ldots m_5}$ associated with tensor generators of $OSp(32|1)$. Since our goal is to describe the superstring in $D=10$ Minkowski superspace the dependence on such tensor coordinates has to be removed by the constraints

$$N_{AB}^{+2} = Z_A^{\mathbf{\Lambda}+}G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}Z_B^{\mathbf{\Sigma}+} \approx 0, \; N_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}^{-2} = Z_{\dot{A}}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}-}G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}Z_{\dot{B}}^{\mathbf{\Sigma}-} \approx 0, \; N_{A\dot{A}} = Z_A^{\mathbf{\Lambda}+}G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}Z_{\dot{A}}^{\mathbf{\Sigma}-} \approx 0, \tag{4}$$

where

$$G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} & 0 \\ -\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

is the $OSp(32|1)$ invariant orthosymplectic metric, and

$$N_{m_1\ldots m_5} = \sigma_{m_1\ldots m_5\alpha\beta}(\mu_A^{\alpha+}v_A^{\beta-} + \mu_{\dot{A}}^{\alpha-}v_{\dot{A}}^{\beta+}) \approx 0. \tag{6}$$

The latter constraints involve inverse spinor harmonic matrix

$$v_{(\alpha)}^{\alpha} = (v_A^{\alpha-}, v_{\dot{A}}^{\alpha+}): \quad v_{(\alpha)}^{\alpha}v_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = \delta_{(\alpha)}^{(\beta)}. \tag{7}$$

The first-order action of the $D=10$ $N=1$ superstring reformulated in terms of supertwistors (2) was found in [51]. For the transition to the canonical formulation it is convenient instead of the zweibein $e_{\mu}^{\pm2}(\xi)$ and its inverse $e^{\mu\pm2}(\xi)$, upon which the action depends nonlinearly, to introduce world-sheet vector densities $\rho^{\pm2} = (\alpha')^{1/2}ee^{\mu\pm2}$, $e = det(e_{\mu}^{\pm2})$ [52] so that the superstring action in the twistor formulation acquires the form

$$S = -\tfrac{1}{2\alpha'}\int d^2\xi(\rho^{\mu+2}\omega_{\mu}^{-2} + \rho^{\mu-2}\omega_{\mu}^{+2} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\rho^{\mu-2}\rho^{\nu+2}) + S_{WZ}, \tag{8}$$

---

[5] Such $D=10$ incidence relations with $X^{\alpha\beta}$ matrix involving solely space-time coordinates x^m contribution have been proposed in [58].

where S_{WZ} is the WZ term in the twistor representation given by

$$S_{WZ} = \tfrac{is}{\alpha'} \int d^2\xi (\tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{+2}(d) \wedge \varphi^{-2}(d) + \tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{-2}(d) \wedge \varphi^{+2}(d) - \omega^{I}(d) \wedge \varphi^{I}(d)). \tag{9}$$

In (8), (9) $\xi^\mu = (\tau, \sigma)$ are the world-sheet local coordinates, α' is the Regge slope parameter, and $s = \pm 1$ indicates the arbitrariness in the definition of the WZ part of the action. The action depends on the world-sheet projections of the 1-forms

$$\begin{gathered} \omega^{+2}(d) = \tfrac{1}{8} dZ^{\mathbf{\Lambda}+}_{A} G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}} Z^{\mathbf{\Sigma}+}_{A}, \quad \omega^{-2}(d) = \tfrac{1}{8} dZ^{\mathbf{\Lambda}-}_{\dot{A}} G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}} Z^{\mathbf{\Sigma}-}_{\dot{A}}, \\ \omega^{I}(d) = \tfrac{1}{16} \gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}} (dZ^{\mathbf{\Lambda}+}_{A} G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}} Z^{\mathbf{\Sigma}-}_{\dot{A}} + dZ^{\mathbf{\Lambda}-}_{\dot{A}} G_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}} Z^{\mathbf{\Sigma}+}_{A}), \end{gathered} \tag{10}$$

and also

$$\varphi^{+2}(d) = \tfrac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}\eta^{+}_{A} \eta^{+}_{A}, \quad \varphi^{-2}(d) = \tfrac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}, \quad \varphi^{I}(d) = \tfrac{1}{16} \gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}} (\mathcal{D}\eta^{+}_{A} \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} + \mathcal{D}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} \eta^{+}_{A}). \tag{11}$$

$SO(1,9)$ covariant differentials of the odd supertwistor components are defined as

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{D}\eta^{+}_{A} = d\eta^{+}_{A} + \tfrac{1}{4}\Omega^{+2-2}(d)\eta^{+}_{A} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2I}(d)\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{1}{4}\Omega^{IJ}(d)\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}\eta^{+}_{B}, \\ \mathcal{D}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} = d\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{1}{4}\Omega^{+2-2}(d)\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2I}(d)\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\eta^{+}_{A} - \tfrac{1}{4}\Omega^{IJ}(d)\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{B}}, \end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

where $\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}$ are $8d$ chiral γ-matrices, satisfying the condition $\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{B}}\tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{B}B} + (I \leftrightarrow J) = 2\delta^{IJ}\delta_{AB}$, $\gamma^{IJ}_{AB} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{A}B} - \gamma^{J}_{A\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}B})$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\gamma^{J}_{A\dot{B}} - \tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{A}A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{B}})$ are the $Spin(8)$ generators in the c and s representations, and contain $SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$ split components of the trivial $SO(1,9)$ connection constructed out of the spinor harmonics $v^{(\alpha)}_{\alpha} = (v^{+}_{\alpha A}, v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}})$ and their inverse $v^{\alpha}_{(\alpha)} = (v^{\alpha-}_{A}, v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}})$

$$\begin{gathered} \Omega^{+2-2}(d) = \tfrac{1}{4}(dv^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} - dv^{+}_{\alpha A}v^{\alpha-}_{A}), \\ \Omega^{+2I}(d) = \tfrac{1}{4}dv^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}, \ \Omega^{-2I}(d) = \tfrac{1}{4}dv^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}v^{\alpha-}_{A}, \\ \Omega^{IJ}(d) = \tfrac{1}{8}(dv^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}v^{\alpha-}_{B} + dv^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}}). \end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

Moving to the canonical formulation we introduce the momenta densities

$$P_{\mathfrak{M}}(\tau,\sigma) = \frac{\vec{\delta} S}{\delta \dot{Q}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\tau,\sigma)} = \left\{ p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha A}, p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}}, p^{\alpha-}_{(v)A}, p^{\alpha+}_{(v)\dot{A}}, \pi^{-}_{A}, \pi^{+}_{\dot{A}}, p^{+}_{(v)\alpha A}, p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}}, P^{\pm 2}_{\mu} \right\} \tag{14}$$

conjugate to the string coordinates

$$Q^{\mathfrak{M}}(\tau,\sigma) = \left\{ \mu^{\alpha+}_{A}, \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}, v^{+}_{\alpha A}, v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}, \eta^{+}_{A}, \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}, v^{\alpha-}_{A}, v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}, \rho^{\mu\pm 2} \right\} \tag{15}$$

on the Poisson brackets (P.B.)

$$\{P_{\mathfrak{M}}(\sigma), Q^{\mathfrak{N}}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathfrak{M}}\delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \tag{16}$$

From the definition of momenta densities conjugate to primary spinor parts of supertwistors there follow the constraints

$$\begin{gathered} \Phi^{-}_{\alpha A}(\sigma) = p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha A} + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(\rho^{\tau-2} - is\varphi^{-2}_{\sigma})v^{+}_{\alpha A} + \tfrac{is}{16\alpha'}\varphi^{I}_{\sigma}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}} \approx 0, \\ \Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{A}}(\sigma) = p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(\rho^{\tau+2} - is\varphi^{+2}_{\sigma})v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}} + \tfrac{is}{16\alpha'}\varphi^{I}_{\sigma}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}v^{+}_{\alpha A} \approx 0 \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

and analogously from the definition of momenta densities conjugate to anticommuting supertwistor components there stem the fermionic constraints that can be presented as

$$\begin{aligned} D^-_A(\sigma) &= \pi^-_A + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(is\omega^{-2}_\sigma - s\varphi^{-2}_\sigma - i\rho^{\tau-2})\eta^+_A + \tfrac{s}{16\alpha'}(\varphi^I_\sigma - i\omega^I_\sigma)\gamma^I_{A\dot{A}}\eta^-_{\dot{A}} \\ &+\tfrac{i}{2}\eta^+_B(v^{\alpha-}_B p^-_{(\mu)\alpha A} - v^{\alpha-}_A p^-_{(\mu)\alpha B}) + \tfrac{i}{2}\eta^-_{\dot{B}}(v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}} p^-_{(\mu)\alpha A} - v^{\alpha-}_A p^+_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{B}}) \approx 0, \\ D^+_{\dot{A}}(\sigma) &= \pi^+_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(is\omega^{+2}_\sigma - s\varphi^{+2}_\sigma - i\rho^{\tau+2})\eta^-_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{s}{16\alpha'}(\varphi^I_\sigma - i\omega^I_\sigma)\tilde{\gamma}^I_{\dot{A}A}\eta^+_A \\ &+\tfrac{i}{2}\eta^+_B(v^{\alpha-}_B p^+_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} - v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} p^-_{(\mu)\alpha B}) + \tfrac{i}{2}\eta^-_{\dot{B}}(v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}} p^+_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} - v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} p^+_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{B}}) \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In the harmonic sector one finds the primary constraints arising from the definition of momenta conjugate to spinor harmonics $v^+_{\alpha A}$ and $v^-_{\alpha\dot{A}}$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\alpha-}_A(\sigma) &= p^{\alpha-}_{(v)A} + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(is\varphi^{-2}_\sigma - \rho^{\tau-2})\mu^{\alpha+}_A - \tfrac{is}{16\alpha'}\varphi^I_\sigma\gamma^I_{A\dot{A}}\mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{is}{128\alpha'}\omega^I_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^I\eta^-)v^{\alpha-}_A \\ &+\tfrac{is}{256\alpha'}\left[\tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{-2}_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^{IJ}\eta^+) + \tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{+2}_\sigma(\eta^-\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}\eta^-) - \omega^K_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^{IJK}\eta^-)\right]\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}v^{\alpha-}_B \\ &+\tfrac{is}{128\alpha'}[\omega^{-2}_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^I\eta^-) + \omega^J_\sigma(\eta^-\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}\eta^-)]\gamma^I_{A\dot{A}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma) &= p^{\alpha+}_{(v)\dot{A}} + \tfrac{1}{16\alpha'}(is\varphi^{+2}_\sigma - \rho^{\tau+2})\mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{is}{16\alpha'}\varphi^I_\sigma\tilde{\gamma}^I_{\dot{A}A}\mu^{\alpha+}_A - \tfrac{is}{128\alpha'}\omega^I_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^I\eta^-)v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} \\ &+\tfrac{is}{256\alpha'}\left[\tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{-2}_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^{IJ}\eta^+) + \tfrac{1}{2}\omega^{+2}_\sigma(\eta^-\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}\eta^-) - \omega^K_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^{IJK}\eta^-)\right]\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}} \\ &-\tfrac{is}{128\alpha'}[\omega^{+2}_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^I\eta^-) - \omega^J_\sigma(\eta^+\gamma^{IJ}\eta^+)]\tilde{\gamma}^I_{\dot{A}A}v^{\alpha-}_A \approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and their inverse $v^{\alpha-}_A$, $v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}$

$$p^+_{(v)\alpha A}(\sigma) \approx 0, \quad p^-_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}}(\sigma) \approx 0. \tag{21}$$

The momenta densities for $\rho^{\mu\pm2}$ also enter the set of primary constraints

$$P^{\pm2}_\tau(\sigma) \approx 0, \tag{22}$$

$$P^{\pm2}_\sigma(\sigma) \approx 0. \tag{23}$$

Besides that 16×16 spinor harmonic matrix $v^{(\alpha)}_\alpha$ is constrained by 211 relations [58], [52]

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(k)}_m v^{(\alpha)}_\alpha \tilde{\sigma}^{mm_1\ldots m_4\alpha\beta} v^{(\beta)}_\beta \sigma_{(k)(\alpha)(\beta)} &\approx 0, \\ n^{+2}_m n^{m-2} - 2 = \tfrac{1}{64}(v^+_{\alpha A}\tilde{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}_m v^+_{\beta A})(v^-_{\gamma\dot{A}}\tilde{\sigma}^{m\gamma\delta}v^-_{\delta\dot{A}}) - 2 &\approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

reducing its contents to 45 independent components equal to the dimension of the Spin(1,9) group. Defining relations for the inverse harmonics, when considered as independent degrees of freedom,

$$v^{(\alpha)}_\alpha v^\alpha_{(\beta)} - \delta^{(\alpha)}_{(\beta)} \approx 0 \tag{25}$$

also should be treated as constraints, as well as, the twistor constraints (4), (6).

It was shown in [52] that the canonical analysis simplifies essentially if one excludes from the set of constraints harmonicity conditions (24), (25) and appropriate projections of the harmonic momenta (19)-(21), forming on P.B. conjugate pairs of the second-class constraints, by introducing corresponding D.B. A suggestive feature of the D.B. is that they coincide with the P.B. for the subspace of the phase-space defined by the primary constraints (17), (18) and (22), (23) and the projections of harmonic momenta

$$\begin{aligned} M^{+2-2}(\sigma) = v^+_{\alpha A}p^{\alpha-}_{(v)A} - v^-_{\alpha\dot{A}}p^{\alpha+}_{(v)\dot{A}} - v^{\alpha-}_A p^+_{(v)\alpha A} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} p^-_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}} + \mu^{\alpha+}_A p^-_{(\mu)\alpha A} - \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}} p^+_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} \\ +\eta^+_A\pi^-_A - \eta^-_{\dot{A}}\pi^+_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$M^{+2I}(\sigma) = -v^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}p^{\alpha+}_{(v)\dot{A}} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}p^{+}_{(v)\alpha A} - \mu^{\alpha+}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} - \eta^{+}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\pi^{+}_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \tag{27}$$

$$M^{-2I}(\sigma) = -v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}p^{\alpha-}_{(v)A} + v^{\alpha-}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}} - \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha A} - \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\pi^{-}_{A} \approx 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{IJ}(\sigma) = -\tfrac{1}{2}(&v^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}p^{\alpha-}_{(v)B} + v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}p^{\alpha+}_{(v)\dot{B}} + v^{\alpha-}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}p^{+}_{(v)\alpha B} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{B}} \\ &+\mu^{\alpha+}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha B} + \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{B}} + \eta^{+}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}\pi^{-}_{B} + \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\pi^{+}_{\dot{B}}) \approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

complementing those that define the D.B. Constraints (26)-(29) also include the contributions of other supertwistor components and their conjugate momenta and coincide with the linear combinations of primary constraints (17)-(21)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}^{+2-2}(\sigma) &= v^{+}_{\alpha A}T^{\alpha-}_{A} - v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}T^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} - v^{\alpha-}_{A}p^{+}_{(v)\alpha A} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}} + \mu^{\alpha+}_{A}\Phi^{-}_{\alpha A} - \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{A}} \\ &\quad +\eta^{+}_{A}D^{-}_{A} - \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}D^{+}_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \\ \hat{M}^{+2I}(\sigma) &= -v^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}T^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}p^{+}_{(v)\alpha A} - \mu^{\alpha+}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{A}} - \eta^{+}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}D^{+}_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \\ \hat{M}^{-2I}(\sigma) &= -v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}T^{\alpha-}_{A} + v^{\alpha-}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{A}} - \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\Phi^{-}_{\alpha A} - \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}D^{-}_{A} \approx 0, \\ \hat{M}^{IJ}(\sigma) &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(v^{+}_{\alpha A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}T^{\alpha-}_{B} + v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}T^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}} + v^{\alpha-}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}p^{+}_{(v)\alpha B} + v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}p^{-}_{(v)\alpha\dot{B}} \\ &\quad +\mu^{\alpha+}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}\Phi^{-}_{\alpha B} + \mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{IJ}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{B}} + \eta^{+}_{A}\gamma^{IJ}_{AB}D^{-}_{B} + \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}D^{+}_{\dot{B}}) \approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

modulo the twistor constraints (4), (6). These so called covariant momentum densities (26)-(29) satisfy on P.B. the relations of $so(1,9)$ algebra and are the generators of infinitesimal local $SO(1,9)$ transformations acting on the supertwistor variables.

Since the twistor formulation is characterized by the presence of twistor constraints (4), (6) it is helpful to introduce D.B. that take them into account as well. Considering the projections of constraints (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{+2}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}(\sigma) = v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{B}} - (\dot{A} \leftrightarrow \dot{B}) \approx 0,\ &\Phi^{-2}_{AB}(\sigma) = v^{\alpha-}_{A}\Phi^{-}_{\alpha B} - (A \leftrightarrow B) \approx 0, \\ \Phi_{A\dot{B}}(\sigma) = v^{\alpha-}_{A}&\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{B}} - v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}}\Phi^{-}_{\alpha A} \approx 0, \\ \Phi^{m_1\ldots m_5}(\sigma) = v^{+}_{\alpha A}\tilde{\sigma}^{m_1\ldots m_5\alpha\beta}&\Phi^{-}_{\beta A} + v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}\tilde{\sigma}^{m_1\ldots m_5\alpha\beta}\Phi^{+}_{\alpha\dot{A}} \approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

conjugate to the twistor constraints (4), (6) on the P.B.

$$\{\Phi^{+2}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}(\sigma), N^{-2}_{\dot{C}\dot{D}}(\sigma')\} = 2(\delta_{\dot{A}\dot{D}}\delta_{\dot{B}\dot{C}} - \delta_{\dot{A}\dot{C}}\delta_{\dot{B}\dot{D}})\delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{32}$$

$$\{\Phi^{-2}_{AB}(\sigma), N^{+2}_{CD}(\sigma')\} = 2(\delta_{AD}\delta_{BC} - \delta_{AC}\delta_{BD})\delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{33}$$

$$\{\Phi_{A\dot{B}}(\sigma), N_{C\dot{D}}(\sigma')\} = -2\delta_{AC}\delta_{\dot{B}\dot{D}}\delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{34}$$

$$\{\Phi^{m_1\ldots m_5}(\sigma), N^{n_1\ldots n_5}(\sigma')\} = 2(\tilde{\sigma}^{m_1\ldots m_5}\sigma^{n_1\ldots n_5})\delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{35}$$

with all other P.B. vanishing in the strong sense, we can introduce the second stage or twistor D.B. that in the subspace of the phase-space defined by the projections of constraints (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{+2}(\sigma) &= 2v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} + \tfrac{1}{\alpha'}(\rho^{\tau+2} - is\varphi^{+2}_{\sigma}) \approx 0, \\ \Phi^{-2}(\sigma) &= 2v^{\alpha-}_{A}p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha A} + \tfrac{1}{\alpha'}(\rho^{\tau-2} - is\varphi^{-2}_{\sigma}) \approx 0, \\ \Phi^{I}(\sigma) &= -v^{\alpha-}_{A}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}p^{+}_{(\mu)\alpha\dot{A}} - v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}p^{-}_{(\mu)\alpha A} - \tfrac{is}{\alpha'}\varphi^{I}_{\sigma} \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

that complement those of (31) as well as $so(1,9)$ generators (26)-(29) and primary constraints (18), (22), (23) coincide with the P.B.

So we arrive at the following expression for the total Hamiltonian density

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(\tau,\sigma) = &\ \tfrac{\rho^{\sigma+2}}{2\alpha'}(\omega_\sigma^{-2} + \rho^{\tau-2}) + \tfrac{\rho^{\sigma-2}}{2\alpha'}(\omega_\sigma^{+2} - \rho^{\tau+2}) + a^{+2}\Phi^{-2} + a^{-2}\Phi^{+2} + a^I\Phi^I \\ + &\ l^{+2-2}M^{+2-2} + l^{+2I}M^{-2I} + l^{-2I}M^{+2I} + l^{IJ}M^{IJ} \\ + &\ b^{\mu+2}P_\mu^{-2} + b^{\mu-2}P_\mu^{+2} + \xi_A^+ D_A^- + \xi_{\dot A}^- D_{\dot A}^+ \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

as the linear combination of the remaining primary constraints with the bosonic $a(\sigma)$, $b(\sigma)$, $l(\sigma)$ and fermionic $\xi(\sigma)$ Lagrange multipliers to be determined from the consistency requirement. In the canonical formalism evolution of any function of the phase-space variables is defined by its P.B. with the Hamiltonian

$$\dot f(Q,P) = \{f, \mathcal{H}\}, \tag{38}$$

where $\mathcal{H} = \int d\sigma H_t(\tau,\sigma)$. Following the Dirac method the consistency requirement for the constraints is that they are weakly conserved, i.e. their P.B. with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ weakly vanish[6]. In the case under consideration we find that the conservation of constraints $P_\sigma^{\pm2} \approx 0$ yields the pair of secondary ones

$$\omega_\sigma^{\pm2} \mp \rho^{\tau\pm2} \approx 0, \tag{39}$$

whereas from the conservation conditions for $P_\tau^{\pm2} \approx 0$ we obtain the following equations for the Lagrange multipliers

$$a^{+2} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\rho^{\sigma+2} + \tfrac{i}{16}\xi_A^+\eta_A^+, \quad a^{-2} = \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^{\sigma-2} + \tfrac{i}{16}\xi_{\dot A}^-\eta_{\dot A}^-. \tag{40}$$

P.B. of $M^{IJ} \approx 0$ with $\mathcal{H}$ weakly vanish, while of $M^{+2-2} \approx 0$ with $\mathcal{H}$ weakly vanish provided one uses (40). Conservation of the $so(1,9)/(so(1,1) \times so(8))$ coset generators $M^{\pm2I} \approx 0$ results in the secondary constraints

$$\omega_\sigma^I \approx 0 \tag{41}$$

and the restriction for Lagrange multipliers a^I

$$a^I = \tfrac{i}{16}(\eta^+\gamma^I\xi^- - \xi^+\gamma^I\eta^-). \tag{42}$$

Evaluating P.B. of $\Phi^{\pm2} \approx 0$ and $\mathcal{H}$ one arrives at the equations

$$b^{\tau+2} = -\partial_\sigma\rho^{\sigma+2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2-2}\rho^{\sigma+2} + 2l^{+2-2}\rho^{\tau+2} + \tfrac{is}{4}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta_A^+\xi_A^+, \tag{43}$$

$$b^{\tau-2} = -\partial_\sigma\rho^{\sigma-2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2-2}\rho^{\sigma-2} - 2l^{+2-2}\rho^{\tau-2} + \tfrac{is}{4}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta_{\dot A}^-\xi_{\dot A}^-, \tag{44}$$

while from the conservation conditions for $\Phi^I \approx 0$ and $\omega_\sigma^I \approx 0$ there stem the equations

$$\rho^{\tau-2}l^{+2I} + \rho^{\tau+2}l^{-2I} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho^{\sigma-2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2I} + \rho^{\sigma+2}\Omega_\sigma^{-2I}) - \tfrac{is}{8}(\xi^+\gamma^I\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^- - \mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+\gamma^I\xi^-), \tag{45}$$

$$\rho^{\tau-2}l^{+2I} - \rho^{\tau+2}l^{-2I} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho^{\sigma-2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2I} - \rho^{\sigma+2}\Omega_\sigma^{-2I}) - \tfrac{i}{8}(\xi^+\gamma^I\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^- - \mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+\gamma^I\xi^-). \tag{46}$$

Conservation of the secondary constraint $\omega^{+2} - \rho^{\tau+2} \approx 0$ gives another equation for $b^{\tau+2}$

$$b^{\tau+2} = -\partial_\sigma\rho^{\sigma+2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2-2}\rho^{\sigma+2} + 2l^{+2-2}\rho^{\tau+2} + \tfrac{i}{4}\xi_A^+\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta_A^+. \tag{47}$$

Its comparison with (43) reveals that either $s = -1$ or $\xi_A^+ \sim \mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta_A^+$. Analogously considering the conservation of the secondary constraint $\omega_\sigma^{-2} + \rho^{\tau-2} \approx 0$ we derive another equation for $b^{\tau-2}$

$$b^{\tau-2} = -\partial_\sigma\rho^{\sigma-2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_\sigma^{+2-2}\rho^{\sigma-2} - 2l^{+2-2}\rho^{\tau-2} + \tfrac{i}{4}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta_{\dot A}^-\xi_{\dot A}^-. \tag{48}$$

---

[6] P.B. of the primary and secondary constraints are given in Appendix A.

Its compatibility with (44) requires either $s=1$ or $\xi^-_{\dot{A}} \sim \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}}$. There remain to consider the consistency conditions for the fermionic constraints (18). For $D^-_A \approx 0$ we obtain $\xi^+_A = -\frac{\rho^{\sigma-2}}{\rho^{\tau-2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^+_A$ when $s=1$, while in the case $s=-1$ no new restrictions on the Lagrange multipliers arise. The conservation condition for $D^+_{\dot{A}} \approx 0$ yields $\xi^-_{\dot{A}} = -\frac{\rho^{\sigma+2}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}}$ when $s=-1$, but is trivial when $s=1$. So we conclude that for $s=1$ $\xi^+_A = -\frac{\rho^{\sigma-2}}{\rho^{\tau-2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^+_A$, while $\xi^-_{\dot{A}}$ remains undetermined, whereas when $s=-1$ $\xi^-_{\dot{A}} = -\frac{\rho^{\sigma+2}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}}$, but ξ^+_A is free.

Upon substitution of the above derived expressions for the Lagrange multipliers back into the Hamiltonian density (37) it turns into the following linear combination of the first-class constraints

$$H_{t,s=1} = \rho^{\sigma+2} \Delta^{-2}_{(-)} + \rho^{\sigma-2} \widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)} + l^{+2-2} \widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + l^{IJ} M^{IJ} + b^{\sigma+2} P^{-2}_\sigma + b^{\sigma-2} P^{+2}_\sigma + \xi^-_{\dot{A}} \widetilde{D}^+_{\dot{A}} \approx 0, \quad (49)$$

where

$$\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}(\sigma) = \tfrac{1}{2\alpha'}(\omega^{-2}_\sigma + \rho^{\tau-2}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\Phi^{-2} + \partial_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau+2}} \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma M^{+2I} \approx 0, \quad (50)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma) = \Delta^{+2}_{(+)} - \tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau-2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^+_A \widetilde{D}^-_A \approx 0 \quad (51)$$

are the generators of the reparametrizations[7]. In (51) we introduced the following combinations of the primary and secondary constraints

$$\Delta^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma) = \tfrac{1}{2\alpha'}(\omega^{+2}_\sigma - \rho^{\tau+2}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\Phi^{+2} + \partial_\sigma P^{+2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma P^{+2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau-2}} \Omega^{+2I}_\sigma M^{-2I} \approx 0, \quad (52)$$

$$\widetilde{D}^-_A(\sigma) = D^-_A + \tfrac{i}{16} \eta^+_A \Phi^{-2} - \tfrac{i}{16} \gamma^I_{A\dot{A}} \eta^-_{\dot{A}} \Phi^I - \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}} \gamma^I_{A\dot{A}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}} M^{-2I} \approx 0. \quad (53)$$

Constraints (52) and (50) are the particular cases corresponding to $k=1$ and $k=-1$ respectively of the more general constraints

$$\Delta^{+2}_k(\sigma) = \tfrac{1}{2\alpha'}(\omega^{+2}_\sigma - \rho^{\tau+2}) + \tfrac{k}{2}\Phi^{+2} + \partial_\sigma P^{+2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma P^{+2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau-2}} \Omega^{+2I}_\sigma M^{-2I} \approx 0, \quad (54)$$

and

$$\Delta^{-2}_k(\sigma) = \tfrac{1}{2\alpha'}(\omega^{-2}_\sigma + \rho^{\tau-2}) + \tfrac{k}{2}\Phi^{-2} + \partial_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau+2}} \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma M^{+2I} \approx 0 \quad (55)$$

to be used below. Other bosonic first class-constraints

$$\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} = M^{+2-2} + 2\rho^{\tau+2} P^{-2}_\tau - 2\rho^{\tau-2} P^{+2}_\tau \approx 0 \quad (56)$$

and (29) generate $SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$ gauge transformations. 8 fermionic first-class constraints

$$\widetilde{D}^+_{\dot{A}} = D^+_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{i}{16} \eta^-_{\dot{A}} \Phi^{+2} - \tfrac{i}{16} \tilde{\gamma}^I_{\dot{A}A} \eta^+_A \Phi^I - \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}} \tilde{\gamma}^I_{\dot{A}A} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^+_A M^{-2I} - \tfrac{i}{4} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}} P^{+2}_\tau \approx 0 \quad (57)$$

are responsible for the $\kappa-$symmetry.

When $s=-1$ we get

$$H_{t,s=-1} = \rho^{\sigma+2} \widetilde{\Delta}^{-2}_{(-)} + \rho^{\sigma-2} \Delta^{+2}_{(+)} + l^{+2-2} \widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + l^{IJ} M^{IJ} + b^{\sigma+2} P^{-2}_\sigma + b^{\sigma-2} P^{+2}_\sigma + \xi^+_A \widetilde{D}'^-_A \approx 0, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^{-2}_{(-)} = \Delta^{-2}_{(-)} - \tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}} \mathcal{D}_\sigma \eta^-_{\dot{A}} \widetilde{D}'^+_{\dot{A}} \approx 0. \quad (59)$$

---

[7] In the above expressions and in what follows lower ± indices in brackets of $\Delta^{\pm 2}_k$ indicate the sign of the $\Phi^{\pm 2} \approx 0$ constraint contribution and should not be confused with the $SO(1,1)$ indices.

In (59) the second-class constraints $\widetilde{D}'^{+}_{\dot{A}} \approx 0$ are defined as

$$\widetilde{D}'^{+}_{\dot{A}} = D^{+}_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{i}{16}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\Phi^{+2} - \tfrac{i}{16}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\eta^{+}_{A}\Phi^{I} + \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau+2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}M^{+2I} \approx 0, \tag{60}$$

whereas the generators of the $\kappa-$symmetry equal

$$\widetilde{D}'^{-}_{A}(\sigma) = D^{-}_{A} + \tfrac{i}{16}\eta^{+}_{A}\Phi^{-2} - \tfrac{i}{16}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\Phi^{I} + \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau+2}}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}M^{+2I} + \tfrac{i}{4}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}P^{-2}_{\tau} \approx 0. \tag{61}$$

In what follows for definiteness we concentrate on exploring the $s = 1$ case.

Now consider the canonical form of the irreducible $\kappa-$symmetry transformations generated on P.B. by the fermionic first-class constraints (57) according to the rule

$$\delta_{\kappa} f(\tau,\sigma) = \left\{ \int d\sigma' \kappa^{-}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma')\widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma'), f \right\}. \tag{62}$$

Straightforward calculation yields that the supertwistor components transform as

$$\delta_{\kappa}\mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}} = \tfrac{i}{2}(\kappa^{-}_{\dot{A}}\eta^{+}_{A} + \tfrac{1}{8}(\kappa^{-}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}\eta^{+})\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A})v^{\alpha-}_{A} + \tfrac{i}{2}(\kappa^{-}_{\dot{A}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{B}} + \eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\kappa^{-}_{\dot{B}} + \tfrac{1}{4}\delta_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}(\kappa^{-}\eta^{-}))v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}}, \tag{63}$$

$$\delta_{\kappa}v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}} = 0, \quad \delta_{\kappa}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}} = \kappa^{-}_{\dot{A}}, \tag{64}$$

$$\delta_{\kappa}\mu^{\alpha+}_{A} = \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}}(\kappa^{-}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+})\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mu^{\alpha-}_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{i}{16}(\kappa^{-}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}\eta^{+})\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}} + \tfrac{i}{2}\eta^{+}_{A}\kappa^{-}_{\dot{B}}v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{B}}, \tag{65}$$

$$\delta_{\kappa}v^{+}_{\alpha A}(\eta^{+}_{A}) = \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}}(\kappa^{-}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+})\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}v^{-}_{\alpha\dot{A}}(\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}). \tag{66}$$

We note that the $2d$ covariant form of the $\kappa-$symmetry transformations derived in the framework of the Lagrangian approach [51] reduces to the above expressions provided one replaces all the τ-derivatives of the coordinates using their equations of motion.

3 The second-class constraints and Dirac brackets

The twistor realization of the 33 bosonic and 8 fermionic first-class constraints by which the $D = 10$ superstring in the twistor formulation is characterized has been exhibited above. The remaining primary and secondary constraints are of the second class. They can be classified according to their grading and the $SO(8)$ representation. 4 vector constraints are represented by (27), (28) and

$$\Delta^{I}_{k}(\sigma) = \tfrac{1}{\alpha'}\omega^{I}_{\sigma} + k\Phi^{I} - \Omega^{+2I}_{\sigma}P^{-2}_{\tau} - \Omega^{-2I}_{\sigma}P^{+2}_{\tau} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\left(\tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right) \approx 0, \tag{67}$$

where $\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma} = \delta^{IJ}\partial_{\sigma} - \Omega^{IJ}_{\sigma}$ is the world-sheet projected $so(8)$ covariant differential. 4 scalar constraints can be chosen as (22) and $\Delta^{+2}_{(-)} \approx 0$, $\Delta^{-2}_{(+)} \approx 0$ defined in (54), (55). Finally there are 8 fermionic second-class constraints (53).

In the canonical approach one of the possible options to take into account the second-class constraints is to introduce D.B.

$$\{f(\sigma), g(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \{f(\sigma), g(\sigma')\} - \{f(\sigma), \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}\}\mathbf{C}^{-1\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}\{\chi_{\mathfrak{n}}, g(\sigma')\}, \tag{68}$$

where $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the set of the second-class constraints and $\mathbf{C}^{-1\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}$ is inverse to the Dirac matrix

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}(\sigma,\sigma') = \{\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\sigma), \chi_{\mathfrak{n}}(\sigma')\}. \tag{69}$$

For the above choice of the second-class constraints set the Dirac matrix acquires the form

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} = J_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} + \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}, \tag{70}$$

where $J_{\mathfrak{mn}}$ is the block-diagonal graded antisymmetric matrix and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{mn}}$ depends linearly on the constraints[8]. Explicitly $J_{\mathfrak{mn}}$ reads

$$\alpha' J = \begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc|cc|c|}
 & M^{+2J} & \Delta^{J}_{(-)} & M^{-2J} & \Delta^{J}_{(+)} & \Delta^{+2}_{(-)} & P_\tau^{-2} & \Delta^{-2}_{(+)} & P_\tau^{+2} & \widetilde{D}^{-}_{B} \\ \hline
M^{+2I} & 0 & -2\rho^{\tau+2}\delta^{IJ} & & & & & & & \\
\Delta^{I}_{(-)} & 2\rho^{\tau+2}\delta^{IJ} & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \hline
M^{-2I} & & & 0 & 2\rho^{\tau-2}\delta^{IJ} & & & & & \\
\Delta^{I}_{(+)} & & & -2\rho^{\tau-2}\delta^{IJ} & 0 & & & & \mathbf{0} & \\ \hline
\Delta^{+2}_{(-)} & & & & & 0 & 1 & & & \\
P_\tau^{-2} & & & & & -1 & 0 & & & \\ \hline
\Delta^{-2}_{(+)} & & & \mathbf{0} & & & & 0 & -1 & \\
P_\tau^{+2} & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & \\ \hline
\widetilde{D}^{-}_{A} & & & & & & & & & \frac{i\rho^{\tau-2}}{4}\delta_{AB} \\ \hline
\end{array} \delta(\sigma-\sigma'). \tag{71}$$

Then the inverse to the Dirac matrix (70) is given by

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1} = (I + J^{-1}\Lambda)J^{-1} \tag{72}$$

and can be expanded as the series

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1} = J^{-1} - J^{-1}\Lambda\ J^{-1} + J^{-1}\Lambda\ J^{-1}\Lambda\ J^{-1} - J^{-1}\Lambda\ J^{-1}\Lambda\ J^{-1}\Lambda J^{-1} + ... \tag{73}$$

Since J is proportional to $(\alpha')^{-1}$ and its inverse depends on α' the inverse Dirac matrix is presented as the series in α' [9]. Expansion (73) suggests that we can evaluate $\mathbf{C}^{-1}$ perturbatively with the leading contribution determined by J^{-1} and the D.B. acquire the form

$$\begin{gathered}
\{f(\sigma), g(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \{f(\sigma), g(\sigma')\} - 4i\alpha' \int \frac{d\sigma''}{\rho^{\tau-2}(\sigma'')}\{f(\sigma), \widetilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma'')\}\{\widetilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\} \\
-\frac{\alpha'}{2}\int \frac{d\sigma''}{\rho^{\tau+2}(\sigma'')}(\{f(\sigma), M^{+2I}(\sigma'')\}\{\Delta^{I}_{(-)}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\} - \{f(\sigma), \Delta^{I}_{(-)}(\sigma'')\}\{M^{+2I}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\}) \\
+\frac{\alpha'}{2}\int \frac{d\sigma''}{\rho^{\tau-2}(\sigma'')}(\{f(\sigma), M^{-2I}(\sigma'')\}\{\Delta^{I}_{(+)}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\} - \{f(\sigma), \Delta^{I}_{(+)}(\sigma'')\}\{M^{-2I}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\}) \\
+\alpha' \int d\sigma''(\{f(\sigma), \Delta^{+2}_{(-)}(\sigma'')\}\{P_\tau^{-2}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\} - \{f(\sigma), P_\tau^{-2}(\sigma'')\}\{\Delta^{+2}_{(-)}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\}) \\
-\alpha' \int d\sigma''(\{f(\sigma), \Delta^{-2}_{(+)}(\sigma'')\}\{P_\tau^{+2}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\} - \{f(\sigma), P_\tau^{+2}(\sigma'')\}\{\Delta^{-2}_{(+)}(\sigma''), g(\sigma')\}) + O(J^{-2})
\end{gathered} \tag{74}$$

Expressions (68) and (74) can be used to evaluate the D.B. relations of the superstring phase-space variables. So for the two sets of supertwistors (2) associated with the world-sheet light-like directions we get

$$\begin{gathered}
\{Z^{\Lambda+}_{A}(\sigma), Z^{\Sigma+}_{B}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \frac{4i\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}} D^{\Lambda}_{AC} D^{\Sigma}_{BC}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\
+\frac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\gamma^{I}_{B\dot{B}}(V^{\Lambda+}_{\dot{A}} Z^{\Sigma-}_{\dot{B}} - Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}} V^{\Sigma+}_{\dot{B}})\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\
+\frac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}} Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma)\gamma^{J}_{B\dot{B}}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\tau-2}} Z^{\Sigma-}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\right) + O(\alpha'^2),
\end{gathered} \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\{Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma), Z^{\Sigma-}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \frac{4i\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}} D^{\Lambda-2}_{\dot{A}C} D^{\Sigma-2}_{\dot{B}C}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\
+\frac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{B}B}(V^{\Lambda-}_{A} Z^{\Sigma+}_{B} - Z^{\Lambda+}_{A} V^{\Sigma-}_{B})\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\
-\frac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A} Z^{\Lambda+}_{A}(\sigma)\tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{B}B}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}} Z^{\Sigma+}_{B}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\right) + O(\alpha'^2),
\end{gathered} \tag{76}$$

---

[8] Expressions for the P.B. of the second-class constraints are given in Appendix B.

[9] Note, however, that some entries of Λ have implicit dependence on $(\alpha')^{-1}$ through constraints (54), (55), (67).

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}\{Z^{\Lambda+}_{A}(\sigma), Z^{\Sigma-}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} &= \tfrac{4i\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}} D^{\Lambda}_{AC} D^{\Sigma-2}_{\dot{B}C}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \tfrac{\alpha'}{2}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{B}B}\left(\tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}} V^{\Lambda+}_{\dot{A}} Z^{\Sigma+}_{B} - \tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau-2}} Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}} V^{\Sigma-}_{B}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(\alpha'^{2}),\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where the inverse spinor harmonic matrix components have been promoted to supertwistors $V^{\Lambda-}_{A} = (v^{\alpha-}_{A},\ 0,\ 0)$, $V^{\Lambda+}_{\dot{A}} = (v^{\alpha+}_{\dot{A}},\ 0,\ 0)$ and the following quantities have been introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma), Z^{\Lambda+}_{B}(\sigma')\} &= D^{\Lambda}_{AB}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \\ D^{\Lambda}_{AB} = -D^{\Lambda}_{BA} &= \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\gamma^{I}_{B\dot{B}} Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{B}} + \tfrac{i}{2}(\delta_{AB}\eta^{-}_{\dot{B}} + \tfrac{1}{8}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\gamma^{I}_{B\dot{B}})V^{\Lambda+}_{\dot{B}} \\ &+ \tfrac{i}{2}(\delta_{AB}\eta^{+}_{C} - \delta_{AC}\eta^{+}_{B} + \tfrac{1}{8}\delta_{BC}\eta^{+}_{A})V^{\Lambda-}_{C} + \delta_{AB}J^{\Lambda}, \quad J^{\Lambda} = (0,\ 0,\ 1),\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$\{\tilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma), Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma')\} = D^{\Lambda-2}_{A\dot{B}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\ D^{\Lambda-2}_{A\dot{B}} = -D^{\Lambda-2}_{\dot{B}A} = -\tfrac{i}{2}(\delta_{AB}\eta^{-}_{\dot{B}} - \tfrac{1}{8}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{B}B})V^{\Lambda-}_{B}. \tag{79}$$

To the first order in α' supertwistors also satisfy nonzero D.B. relations with the world-sheet densities

$$\begin{aligned}\{Z^{\Lambda+}_{A}(\sigma), \rho^{\tau-2}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} &= \alpha' V^{\Lambda-}_{A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') - \tfrac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau-2}}\Omega^{-2I}_{\sigma}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}} Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(\alpha'^{2}), \\ \{Z^{\Lambda-}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma), \rho^{\tau+2}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} &= \alpha' V^{\Lambda+}_{\dot{A}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{\alpha'}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}\Omega^{+2I}_{\sigma}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A} Z^{\Lambda+}_{A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(\alpha'^{2})\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

D.B. deformation of P.B. relations for the phase-space variables results in the deformation of the first-class constraint algebra. For the $\kappa-$symmetry generators (57) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\{\widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma), \widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} =&\ \ \tfrac{i}{4}\delta_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &- \ \tfrac{\alpha'}{16\rho^{\tau+2}(\rho^{\tau-2})^{2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}\tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{B}B}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{B}M^{IJ}\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \ \tfrac{\alpha'}{2}\Gamma^{+I}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma)\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\Gamma^{+J}_{\dot{B}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(J^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where $\Gamma^{+I}_{\dot{A}} = -\frac{1}{4\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{J}_{\dot{A}A}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}(\frac{1}{2}\delta^{JI}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{JI})$. The first term on the r.h.s. proportional to the reparametrization generator (51) is the P.B. contribution, while the terms containing products of $so(1,1)$, $so(8)$ generators and the reparametrization generator (50) correspond to the deformation. The D.B. of the $\kappa-$symmetry and corresponding reparametrization generators have the form

$$\begin{aligned}\{\widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma), \widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} =&\ \ \tfrac{i\alpha'}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}\left(A^{+2I} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}\gamma^{I}\widetilde{D}^{+}\right)\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \ \tfrac{i\alpha'}{2}A^{+2I}(\sigma)\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\Gamma^{+J}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(J^{-2}),\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

where

$$A^{+2I} = \tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\left[(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}\gamma^{I}\widetilde{D}^{+}) + \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{IJ}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{IJ}\right)\left(\Omega^{+2J}_{\sigma} + \tfrac{i}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}\gamma^{J}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{-})\right)\right]. \tag{83}$$

Observe that equal to zero P.B. contribution becomes supplemented by the terms quadratic in the first-class constraints. At the same time at the lowest order in J^{-1} D.B. of the $\kappa-$symmetry generators and the reparametrization generator (50) coincide with the P.B.

$$\{\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}(\sigma), \widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{A}}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \tfrac{i}{2}\Gamma^{+I}_{\dot{A}}\Omega^{-2I}_{\sigma}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(J^{-2}) = -\tfrac{i}{8}\tilde{\gamma}^{I}_{\dot{A}A}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}_{A}B^{-2I}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(J^{-2}), \tag{84}$$

where $B^{-2I} = \frac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta^{IJ}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{IJ}\right)\Omega^{-2J}_{\sigma}$. Equal to zero diagonal P.B. relations of the reparametrization generators on transition to D.B. receive contributions quadratic in $so(1,1)$ and $so(8)$ generators

$$\{\widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma), \widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = -\tfrac{\alpha'}{2}A^{+2I}(\sigma)\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}A^{+2J}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + O(J^{-2}), \tag{85}$$

$$\{\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}(\sigma), \Delta^{-2}_{(-)}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} = \tfrac{\alpha'}{2} B^{-2I}(\sigma) \mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma} B^{-2J}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma - \sigma') + O(J^{-2}), \tag{86}$$

while that of different reparametrization generators at the lowest order in J^{-1} become deformed by the terms proportional to the product of the reparametrization generator (50) with the generators of the $\kappa-$symmetry and $so(1,1)$, $so(8)$ generators

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{\widetilde{\Delta}^{+2}_{(+)}(\sigma), \Delta^{-2}_{(-)}(\sigma')\}_{D.B.} & = & \frac{1}{2\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}\gamma^{I}\widetilde{D}^{+})\Omega^{-2I}_{\sigma}\left(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}\right)\delta(\sigma - \sigma') \\ & - & \frac{1}{2}\left[\Omega^{+2I}_{\sigma}\left(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}\right) + \frac{i}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{+}\gamma^{I}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\eta^{-})\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha'}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\Delta^{-2}_{(-)}\right)\right] \\ & \times & B^{-2I}\delta(\sigma - \sigma') + O(J^{-2}). \end{array} \tag{87}$$

P.B. of the $so(1,1)$ and $so(8)$ generators (56), (29) and the second-class constraints are determined by their properties under the $SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$ transformations and hence are proportional to the second-class constraints. So that the D.B. involving $\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} \approx 0$ and/or $M^{IJ} \approx 0$ are equal to corresponding P.B.

4 Conclusion

The present paper investiges the canonical approach application to the $D = 10$ superstring first-order action involving spinor harmonics and formulated in terms of supertwistor variables generalizing Penrose-Ferber ones. We have identified the primary and secondary constraints on the supertwistors and conjugate momenta, analyzed their consistency and as a result obtained the set of the first-class constraints that includes twistor realizations of the reparametrization, $SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$ gauge symmetry and $\kappa-$symmetry generators. The superstring model is also characterized by the second-class constraints that can be taken into account by constructing D.B. To this end we have chosen the basis in the space of the second-class constraints such that the Dirac matrix acquires the form of the sum of block-diagonal graded antisymmetric matrix J proportional to $(\alpha')^{-1}$ and the one linear in the constraints. So the D.B. can be evaluated perturbatively as the series in J^{-1}. Introduction of D.B. leads to the deformation of the first-class constraint algebra, the deformation parameter can be identified with α'. We have explicitly found the D.B. deformation of the first-class constraint algebra up to terms quadratic in the constraints although it can be calculated to any order in J^{-1}. One could expect some simplification of the expression for D.B. by choosing such representation for the second-class constraints for which the Dirac matrix becomes strongly equal to J. This, however, requires addition to the obtained second-class constraints of the terms containing higher powers of the constraints to compensate weakly vanishing contributions to their P.B. Another way to handle the second-class constraints is to bring them by canonical transformation to the special form[10] and then solve with respect to the subset of the canonically conjugate variables equal in number to the second-class constraints [64]. Alternative mode could be to consider the covariant supertwistor analogue of the semilightcone gauge approach to the GS superstring quantization [65]. Its examination for the superstring in the twistor formulation has been initiated in [66] on the example of the $D = 4$ model. All these possibilities are under consideration.

---

[10] Note that the conjugate pairs of the second-class constraints $(P^{\pm 2}_{\tau}, \Delta^{\mp 2}_{(\pm)})$ are already brought to the special form.

5 Acknowledgements

The author is obliged to A.A. Zheltukhin for valuable discussions.

A P.B. relations of the primary and secondary constraints

Fermionic constraints (18) satisfy the following nonzero P.B. relations between themselves

$$\begin{aligned}
\{D^-_A(\sigma), D^-_B(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{1}{8\alpha'}\delta_{AB}(is\omega^{-2}_\sigma - i\rho^{\tau-2} - s\varphi^{-2}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), D^+_{\dot B}(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{1}{8\alpha'}\delta_{\dot A\dot B}(is\omega^{+2}_\sigma - i\rho^{\tau+2} - s\varphi^{+2}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^-_A(\sigma), D^+_{\dot B}(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{s}{8\alpha'}\gamma^I_{A\dot B}(\varphi^I_\sigma - i\omega^I_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

and with the Φ-constraints (17)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{D^-_A(\sigma), \Phi^{+2}(\sigma')\} = \tfrac{is}{4\alpha'}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+_A\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \quad \{D^-_A(\sigma), \Phi^{I}(\sigma')\} = \tfrac{is}{8\alpha'}\gamma^I_{A\dot A}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
&\{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), \Phi^{-2}(\sigma')\} = \tfrac{is}{4\alpha'}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \quad \{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), \Phi^{I}(\sigma')\} = \tfrac{is}{8\alpha'}\tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+_A\delta(\sigma-\sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

$\sigma-$components of the world-sheet projections of ω 1-forms that enter the secondary constraints (39), (41) satisfy the P.B. relations with the fermionic constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\{D^-_A(\sigma), \omega^{+2}_\sigma(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i}{8}\eta^+_A(\sigma)(\partial_\sigma - \tfrac12\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{4}(\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+_A + \tfrac14\gamma^I_{A\dot A}\eta^-_{\dot A}\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^-_A(\sigma), \omega^{-2}_\sigma(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i}{16}\gamma^I_{A\dot A}\eta^-_{\dot A}\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^-_A(\sigma), \omega^{I}_\sigma(\sigma')\} =& \ -\tfrac{i}{16}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma'}\gamma^J_{A\dot A}\eta^-_{\dot A}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{8}(\gamma^I_{A\dot A}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot A} + \tfrac12\eta^+_A\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), \omega^{-2}_\sigma(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i}{8}\eta^-_{\dot A}(\sigma)(\partial_\sigma + \tfrac12\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{4}(\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot A} + \tfrac14\tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}\eta^+_A\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), \omega^{+2}(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i}{16}\tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}\eta^+_A\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma), \omega^{I}_\sigma(\sigma')\} =& \ -\tfrac{i}{16}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma'}\tilde\gamma^J_{\dot A A}\eta^+_A(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{8}(\tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+_A + \tfrac12\eta^-_{\dot A}\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

and with the Φ-constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Phi^{\pm2}(\sigma), \omega^{\mp2}_\sigma(\sigma')\} = -2(\partial_\sigma \pm \tfrac12\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{\Phi^{I}(\sigma), \omega^{\pm2}_\sigma(\sigma')\} = \{\omega^I_\sigma(\sigma), \Phi^{\pm2}(\sigma')\} = \Omega^{\pm2I}_\sigma\delta(\sigma-\sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

The P.B. of $so(1,1)$ and $so(1,9)/(so(1,1)\times so(8))$ coset generators (26)-(28) with the fermionic constraints equal

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M^{+2-2}(\sigma), D^-_A(\sigma')\} =& \ -(D^-_A + \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau-2}}{8\alpha'}\eta^+_A)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{+2-2}(\sigma), D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma')\} =& \ (D^+_{\dot A} + \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau+2}}{8\alpha'}\eta^-_{\dot A})\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{+2I}(\sigma), D^-_A(\sigma')\} =& \ \gamma^I_{A\dot A}(D^+_{\dot A} + \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau+2}}{16\alpha'}\eta^-_{\dot A})\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{+2I}(\sigma), D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau+2}}{16\alpha'}\tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}\eta^+_A\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{-2I}(\sigma), D^-_A(\sigma')\} =& \ \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau-2}}{16\alpha'}\gamma^I_{A\dot A}\eta^-_{\dot A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{-2I}(\sigma), D^+_{\dot A}(\sigma')\} =& \ \tilde\gamma^I_{\dot A A}(D^-_A + \tfrac{i\rho^{\tau-2}}{16\alpha'}\eta^+_A)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

while with the Φ-constraints read

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M^{+2-2}(\sigma),\Phi^{\pm 2}(\sigma')\} &= \pm 2(\Phi^{\pm 2}-\tfrac{1}{\alpha'}\rho^{\tau\pm 2})\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{\pm 2I}(\sigma),\Phi^{\mp 2}(\sigma')\} &= -2\Phi^{I}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{\pm 2I}(\sigma),\Phi^{J}(\sigma')\} &= -\delta^{IJ}(\Phi^{\pm 2}-\tfrac{\rho^{\tau\pm 2}}{\alpha'})\delta(\sigma-\sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Finally the P.B. of the $so(1,1)$ and $so(1,9)/(so(1,1)\times so(8))$ generators and the $\sigma-$components of ω 1-forms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M^{+2-2}(\sigma),\omega_\sigma^{\pm 2}(\sigma')\} &= \pm 2\omega_\sigma^{\pm 2}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{\pm 2I}(\sigma),\omega_\sigma^{\mp 2}(\sigma')\} &= -2\omega_\sigma^{I}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\\
\{M^{\pm 2I}(\sigma),\omega_\sigma^{J}(\sigma')\} &= -\delta^{IJ}\omega^{\pm 2}\delta(\sigma-\sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

R.h.s. of the P.B. of $SO(8)$ generators $M^{IJ}\approx 0$ with the fermionic constraints, Φ-constraints and the $\sigma-$components of ω 1-forms are defined by their transformation properties under the $SO(8)$ transformations and exhibit no "anomalous" contributions as opposed to the above given P.B.

B P.B. relations of the second-class constraints

P.B. of the $SO(8)$ vector constraints (27), (28) and (67) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Delta_k^I(\sigma),\Delta_{k'}^J(\sigma')\} = {}& \left(\tfrac{\Delta_k^{-2}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}(\sigma)+\tfrac{\Delta_{k'}^{-2}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}(\sigma')\right)\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')+\left(\tfrac{\Delta_k^{+2}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}(\sigma)+\tfrac{\Delta_{k'}^{+2}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}(\sigma')\right)\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\left(\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)(\sigma)\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\left(\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)(\sigma')\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IK}\delta^{JL}-\mathscr{D}_{\sigma'}^{JK}\delta^{IL}\right)\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\left(\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{+2L}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{\Omega_\sigma^{-2L}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&-\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IK}\mathscr{D}_{\sigma'}^{JL}\tfrac{M^{KL}}{\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M^{+2I}(\sigma),\Delta_k^J(\sigma')\} = {}& \tfrac{(k-1)\rho^{\tau+2}}{\alpha'}\delta^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')-2\delta^{IJ}\Delta_k^{+2}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&-\left[\tfrac{\widetilde{M}^{+2-2}}{2\rho^{\tau-2}}-\tfrac{(\rho^{\tau+2}P_\tau^{-2}+\rho^{\tau-2}P_\tau^{+2})}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right](\sigma)\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\mathscr{D}_{\sigma'}^{JK}\tfrac{M^{IK}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}(\delta^{IJ}\delta^{KL}-\delta^{IK}\delta^{JL})\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\Omega_\sigma^{+2L}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M^{-2I}(\sigma),\Delta_k^J(\sigma')\} = {}& \tfrac{(k+1)\rho^{\tau-2}}{\alpha'}\delta^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')-2\delta^{IJ}\Delta_k^{-2}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&-\left[\tfrac{\widetilde{M}^{+2-2}}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}+\tfrac{(\rho^{\tau+2}P_\tau^{-2}+\rho^{\tau-2}P_\tau^{+2})}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\right](\sigma)\mathscr{D}_\sigma^{IJ}\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\mathscr{D}_{\sigma'}^{JK}\tfrac{M^{IK}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\\
&+\tfrac{1}{2}(\delta^{IJ}\delta^{KL}-\delta^{IK}\delta^{JL})\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}-\tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\Omega_\sigma^{-2L}\delta(\sigma-\sigma').
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

P.B. of the constraints (67) and constraints (55), (54), (22) equal

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Delta^I_k(\sigma),\Delta^{-2}_{(+)}(\sigma')\} = {}& \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma \tfrac{\Delta^{+2}_k}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma(\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{JK}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{JK})\tfrac{\Omega^{-2K}_\sigma}{\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4\rho^{\tau+2}}(\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma\Omega^{-2J}_\sigma + \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\Omega^{+2J}_\sigma)\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} - \tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma\tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\left[2\Delta^J_{(+)} - \left(\mathscr{D}^{JK}_\sigma\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} - \tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\right)\right](\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Delta^I_k(\sigma),\Delta^{+2}_{(-)}(\sigma')\} = {}& \Omega^{+2I}_\sigma \tfrac{\Delta^{-2}_k}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma(-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{JK}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{JK})\tfrac{\Omega^{+2K}_\sigma}{\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &- \tfrac{1}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}(\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma\Omega^{-2J}_\sigma + \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\Omega^{+2J}_\sigma)\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} - \tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma\tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\left[2\Delta^J_{(-)} + \left(\mathscr{D}^{JK}_\sigma\left(\tfrac{M^{+2K}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} - \tfrac{M^{-2K}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\right)\right](\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

$$\{\Delta^I_k(\sigma), P^{\pm2}_\tau(\sigma')\} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma\tfrac{M^{\mp2J}}{(\rho^{\tau\mp2})^2}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'). \tag{100}$$

$so(1,9)/(so(1,1)\times so(8))$ coset generators satisfy the following P.B. with the scalar second-class constraints

$$\{M^{+2I}(\sigma),\Delta^{+2}_k(\sigma')\} = \tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\left[\delta^{IJ}(\tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} - \rho^{\tau+2}P^{-2}_\tau) - M^{IJ}\right]\Omega^{+2J}_\sigma\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \tag{101}$$

$$\{M^{-2I}(\sigma),\Delta^{-2}_k(\sigma')\} = -\tfrac{1}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\left[\delta^{IJ}(\tfrac{1}{2}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + \rho^{\tau-2}P^{+2}_\sigma) + M^{IJ}\right]\Omega^{-2J}_\sigma\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{M^{+2I}(\sigma),\Delta^{-2}_k(\sigma')\} = {}& -\left[\Delta^I_k + \Omega^{-2I}_\sigma P^{+2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} + \tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\right)\right]\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+(\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma\delta^{IJ})\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{M^{-2I}(\sigma),\Delta^{+2}_k(\sigma')\} = {}& -\left[\Delta^I_k + \Omega^{+2I}_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} + \tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\right)\right]\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+(\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma\delta^{IJ})\tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}(\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma').\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

Scalar second-class constraints are characterized by the P.B. relations

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Delta^{-2}_k(\sigma),\Delta^{-2}_{k'}(\sigma')\} &= \tfrac{(k-k')}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\Phi^I\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \\ \{\Delta^{+2}_k(\sigma),\Delta^{+2}_{k'}(\sigma')\} &= \tfrac{(k-k')}{2\rho^{\tau-2}}\Omega^{+2I}_\sigma\Phi^I\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \\ \{\Delta^{+2}_k(\sigma),\Delta^{-2}_{k'}(\sigma')\} &= \tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\Omega^{+2J}_\sigma(\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{IJ}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} + M^{IJ})\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \\ \{\Delta^{\pm2}_{(\mp)}(\sigma),P^{\mp2}_\tau(\sigma')\} &= \pm\tfrac{1}{\alpha'}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'), \\ \{\Delta^{\pm2}_{(\mp)}(\sigma),P^{\pm2}_\tau(\sigma')\} &= \tfrac{1}{2(\rho^{\tau\mp})^2}\Omega^{\pm2I}_\sigma M^{\mp2I}\delta(\sigma-\sigma').\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

Fermionic second-class constraints (53) satisfy P.B. relations among themselves

$$\begin{aligned}\{\widetilde{D}^-_A(\sigma),\widetilde{D}^-_B(\sigma')\} = {}& -\tfrac{i\rho^{\tau-2}}{4\alpha'}\delta_{AB}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{4}\delta_{AB}\left[\Delta^{-2}_{(+)} - \partial_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{+2-2}_\sigma P^{-2}_\tau\right. \\ &+ \left.\tfrac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\left(\tfrac{M^{-2I}}{\rho^{\tau-2}} - \tfrac{M^{+2I}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\right)\right]\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

and with bosonic second-class constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\{\widetilde{D}^-_A(\sigma),\Delta^I_k(\sigma')\} = {}& \tfrac{i}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^I_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot{A}}\Delta^{-2}_k\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \tfrac{i}{16\rho^{\tau-2}}\left(\Omega^{-2I}_\sigma\gamma^J_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot{A}} + \Omega^{-2J}_\sigma\gamma^I_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot{A}}\right)\left(\tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}} - \tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\ &+ \mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma'}\left[\tfrac{1}{2\rho^{\tau+2}}\gamma^J_{A\dot{A}}\widetilde{D}^+_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{JK}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} - M^{JK}\right)\gamma^K_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot{A}}\right. \\ &+ \left.\tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau+2}\rho^{\tau-2}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^+_A M^{-2J} - \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^J_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^-_{\dot{A}}P^{-2}_\tau\right](\sigma)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\widetilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma), M^{+2I}(\sigma')\} = & -\left(\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\widetilde{D}^{+}_{\dot{A}} - \tfrac{i}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}(\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{IJ}\widetilde{M}^{+2-2} - M^{IJ})\gamma^{J}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma') \\
& - \tfrac{i}{4\rho^{\tau-2}}\left(\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{+}_{A}M^{-2I} + \rho^{\tau+2}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}P^{-2}_{\tau}\right)\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),
\end{aligned}
\tag{108}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\widetilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma), \Delta^{+2}_{k}(\sigma')\} = & \tfrac{i(k+1)}{8\alpha'}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{+}_{A}\delta(\sigma-\sigma') + \tfrac{i}{8\rho^{\tau-2}}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}\left[\Delta^{I}_{k} + \Omega^{+2I}_{\sigma}P^{-2}_{\tau}\right. \\
& + \left.\tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{D}^{IJ}_{\sigma}\left(\tfrac{M^{+2J}}{\rho^{\tau+2}} - \tfrac{M^{-2J}}{\rho^{\tau-2}}\right)\right]\delta(\sigma-\sigma'),
\end{aligned}
\tag{109}
$$

$$
\{\widetilde{D}^{-}_{A}(\sigma), P^{+2}_{\tau}(\sigma')\} = -\tfrac{i}{8(\rho^{\tau-2})^2}\gamma^{I}_{A\dot{A}}\mathcal{D}_\sigma\eta^{-}_{\dot{A}}M^{-2I}\delta(\sigma-\sigma'). \tag{110}
$$

References

[1] R. Penrose, *Twistor algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967) 345.
R. Penrose and M.A.H. MacCallum, *Twistor theory: an approach to the quantization of fields and space-time*, Phys. Rept. **6** (1972) 241.
R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[2] A. Ferber, *Supertwistors and conformal supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B132** (1978) 55.

[3] T. Shirafuji, *Lagrangian mechanics of massless particles with spin*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **70** (1983) 18.

[4] A. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson, M. Cederwall and N. Linden, *Particles, superparticles and twistors*, Phys. Rev. **D36** (1987) 1766.

[5] I. Bengtsson and M. Cederwall, *Particles, twistors and the division algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B302** (1988) 81.

[6] Y. Eisenberg and S. Solomon, *The twistor geometry of the covariantly quantized Brink-Schwarz superparticle*, Nucl. Phys. **B309** (1988) 709.

[7] M. Plyushchay, *Covariant quantization of massless superparticle in four-dimensional space-time: twistor approach*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 1827. *Lagrangian formulation for the massless (super)particle in the (super)twistor approach*, Phys. Lett. **B240** (1990) 133.

[8] A. Gumenchuk and D. Sorokin, *Relativistic superparticle dynamics and twistor correspondence*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **51** (1990) 350.

[9] P.S. Howe and P.C. West, *The conformal group, point particles and twistors*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 6639.

[10] I.A. Bandos, A.Yu. Nurmagambetov, D.P. Sorokin and D.V. Volkov, *Another version of the twistor-like approach to superparticles*, JETP Lett. **60** (1994) 621; `arXiv:hep-ph/9409439`. *Twistor-like superparticles revisited*, Class. Quantum Grav. **12** (1995) 1881; `arXiv:hep-th/9502143`.

[11] I. Bandos and J. Lukierski, *Tensorial central charges and new superparticle model with fundamental spinor coordinates*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14** (1999) 1257; `arXiv:hep-th/9811022`.

[12] I.A. Bandos, J. Lukierski and D.P. Sorokin, *Superparticle models with tensorial central charges*, Phys. Rev. **D61**(2000) 045002; `arXiv:hep-th/9904109`.

[13] A. Bette, J.A. de Azcarraga, J. Lukierski and C. Miquel-Espanya, *Massive relativistic particle model with spin and electric charge from two twistor dynamics*, Phys. Lett. **B595** (2004) 491; `arXiv:hep-th/0405166`.

[14] I. Bars and M. Picon, *Single twistor description of massless, massive, AdS, and other interacting particles*, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 064002; `arXiv:hep-th/0512091`. *Twistor transform in d dimensions and a unifying role for twistors*, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 064033; `arXiv:hep-th/0512348`.

[15] I.A. Bandos, J.A. de Azcarraga and D. Sorokin, *On D=11 supertwistors, superparticle quantization and a hidden SO(16) symmetry of supergravity*, `arXiv:hep-th/0612252`.

[16] K. Ilyenko, *Twistor variational principle for null strings*, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **102-103** (2001) 83; `arXiv:hep-th/0104117`. *Twistor representation of null two surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 4770; `arXiv:hep-th/0109036`.

[17] A.A. Zheltukhin and D.V. Uvarov, *An inverse Penrose limit and supersymmetry enhancement in the presence of tensor central charges*, JHEP **0208** (2002) 008; `arXiv:hep-th/0206214`. *Exactly solvable super-p-brane models with tensor central charge coordinates*, Phys. Lett. **B545** (2002) 183.

[18] I. Bandos, *BPS preons and tensionless super p-brane in generalized superspace*, Phys. Lett. **B558** (2003) 197; `arXiv:hep-th/0208110`.

[19] I. Bengtsson and A.A. Zheltukhin, *Wess-Zumino action and Dirichlet boundary conditions for super p-brane with exotic fractions of supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. **B570** (2003) 222; `arXiv:hep-th/0306172`.

[20] I.A. Bandos, J.A. de Azcarraga and C. Miquel-Espanya, *Superspace formulations of the (super)twistor string*, JHEP **0607** (2006) 005; `arXiv:hep-th/0604037`.

[21] W.T. Shaw, *Twistors, minimal surfaces and strings*, Class. Quantum Grav. **2** (1985) L113. *An ambitwistor description of bosonic or supersymmetric minimal surfaces and strings in four dimensions*, Class. Quantum Grav. **3** (1986) 753. *Twistor quantization of open strings in three-dimensions*, Class. Quantum Grav. **4** (1987) 1193.
L.P. Hughston and W.T. Shaw, *Constraint free analysis of relativistic strings*, Class. Quantum Grav. **5** (1988) L69.

[22] M. Cederwall, *An extension of the twistor concept to string theory*, Phys. Lett. **B226** (1989) 45.

[23] P. Claus, M. Gunaydin, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and Y. Zunger, *Supertwistors as quarks of* $SU(2,2|4)$, JHEP **9905** (1999) 019; `arXiv:hep-th/9905112`.

[24] I.A. Bandos, J.A. de Azcarraga, M. Picon and O. Varela, *D=11 superstring model with 30* $\kappa-$*symmetries and* $\frac{30}{32}$ *BPS states in an extended superspace*, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 085007; `arXiv:hep-th/0307106`.

[25] S. Fedoruk and J. Lukierski, *Twistorial versus space-time formulations: unification of various string models*, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 026004; `arXiv:hep-th/0606245`. *Two-twistor description of membrane*, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 066005; `arXiv:0706.2129 [hep-th]`.

[26] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252** (2004) 189; `arXiv:hep-th/0312171`.

[27] N. Berkovits, *Alternative string theory in twistor space for $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 011601; `arXiv:hep-th/0402045`.
N. Berkovits and L. Motl, *Cubic twistorial string field theory*, JHEP **0404** (2004) 056; `arXiv:hep-th/0403187`.

[28] W. Siegel, *Untwisting the twistor superstring*, `arXiv:hep-th/0404255`.

[29] I. Bars, *Twistor superstring in 2T−physics*, Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 104022; `arXiv:hep-th/0407239`.

[30] L. Mason and D. Skinner, *Heterotic twistor-string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B795** (2008) 105; `arXiv:0708.2276 [hep-th]`.

[31] A.D. Popov and M. Wolf, *Topological B-model on weighted projective spaces and self-dual models in four dimensions*, JHEP **0409** (2004) 007; `arXiv:hep-th/0406224`.

[32] M. Kulaxizi and K. Zoubos, *Marginal deformations of $N = 4$ SYM from open/closed twistor strings*, Nucl. Phys. **B738** (2006) 317; `arXiv:hep-th/0410122`. *Marginal deformations of tree-level N=4 SYM from twistor string theory*, Fortsch.Phys. **54** (2006) 423; `arXiv:hep-th/0512302`.

[33] C. Saemann, *The topological B-model on fattened complex manifolds and subsectors of $N = 4$ self-dual Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **0501** (2005) 042; `arXiv:hep-th/0410292`.

[34] J. Park and S.J. Rey, *Supertwistor orbifolds: gauge theory amplitudes and topological strings*, JHEP **0412** (2004) 017; `arXiv:hep-th/0411123`.

[35] S. Giombi, M. Kulaxizi, R. Ricci, D. Robles-Llana, D. Trancanelli and K. Zoubos, *Orbifolding the twistor string*, Nucl. Phys. **B719** (2005) 234; `arXiv:hep-th/0411171`.

[36] D.W. Chiou, O. Ganor, Y.P. Hong, B.S. Kim and I. Mitra, *Massless and massive three dimensional super Yang-Mills theory and mini-twistor string theory*, Phys.Rev. **D71** (2005) 125016; `arXiv:hep-th/0502076`.
D.W. Chiou, O. Ganor and B.S. Kim, *A Deformation of twistor space and a chiral mass term in N=4 super Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **0603** (2006) 027; `arXiv:hep-th/0512242`.

[37] A.D. Popov, C. Saemann and M. Wolf, *The topological B-model on a mini-supertwistor space and supersymmetric Bogomolny monopole equations*, JHEP **0510** (2005) 058; `arXiv:hep-th/0505161`.

[38] J. Bedford, C. Papageorgakis and K. Zoubos, *Twistor strings with flavour*, JHEP **0711** (2007) 088; `arXiv:0708.1248 [hep-th]`.

[39] M. Abou-Zeid, C.M. Hull and L.J. Mason, *Einstein supergravity and new twistor string theories*, `arXiv:hep-th/0606272`.

[40] F. Cachazo and P. Svrcek, *Lectures on twistor strings and perturbative Yang-Mills theory*, Proc. Sci. **RTN2005** (2005) 005; `arXiv:hep-th/0504194`.

[41] N. Berkovits, *Super Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring*, JHEP **0004** (2000) 018; `arXiv:hep-th/0001035`.

[42] N. Berkovits and S.A. Cherkis, *Higher-dimensional twistor transforms using pure spinors*, JHEP **0412** (2004) 049; `arXiv:hep-th/0409243`.

[43] N. Berkovits and B. Carlini Vallilo, *Consistency of superPoicare covariant superstring tree amplitudes*, JHEP **0007** (2000) 015; `arXiv:hep-th/0004171`.
N. Berkovits, *Relating the RNS and pure spinor formalisms for the superstring*, JHEP **0108** (2001) 026; `arXiv:hep-th/0104247`.

[44] N. Berkovits, *Multiloop amplitudes and vanishing theorems using the pure spinor formalism for the superstring*, JHEP **0409** (2004) 047; `arXiv:hep-th/0406055`.
Super-Poincare covariant two-loop superstring amplitudes, JHEP 0601 (2006) 005; `arXiv:hep-th/0503197`.
N. Berkovits and C.R. Mafra, *Equivalence of two-loop superstring amplitudes in the pure spinor and RNS formalisms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 011602; `arXiv:hep-th/0509234`.

[45] M. Tonin, *World sheet supersymmetric formulations of Green-Schwarz superstrings*, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 312.

[46] N. Berkovits, *The heterotic Green-Schwarz superstring on an $N = (2,0)$ superworldsheet*, Nucl. Phys. **B379** (1992) 96; `arXiv:hep-th/9201004`.

[47] B.E.W. Nilsson, *Pure spinors as auxiliary fields in the ten-dimensional supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **3** (1986) L41.

[48] P.S. Howe, *Pure spinor lines in superspace and ten-dimensional supersymmetric theories*, Phys. Lett. **B258** (1991) 141.
Pure spinors, function superspaces and supergravity theories in ten-dimensiona and eleven-dimensions, Phys. Lett. **B273** (1991) 90.

[49] N. Berkovits, *Explaining the pure spinor formalism for the superstring*, JHEP **0801** (2008) 065; `arXiv:0712.0324 [hep-th]`.

[50] D.V. Uvarov, *(Super)twistors and (super)strings*, Class. Quantum Grav. **23** (2006) 2711; `arXiv:hep-th/0601149`.

[51] D.V. Uvarov, *Supertwistor formulation for higher dimensional superstrings*, Class. Quantum Grav. **24** (2007) 5383; `arXiv:hep-th/0703051`.

[52] I.A. Bandos and A.A. Zheltukhin, *Spinor Cartan moving N-hedron, Lorentz harmonic formulations of superstrings, and κ symmetry*, JETP Lett. **54** (1991) 421.
Green-Schwarz superstring in the spinor moving frame formalism, Phys. Lett. **B288** (1992) 77.
Lorentz harmonics and new formulations of superstrings in D=10 and supermembranes in D=11, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **56** (1993) 113.
Twistor-like approach in the Green-Schwarz $D = 10$ superstring theory, Phys. Part. Nucl. **25** (1994) 453.

[53] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Unconstrained $N = 2$ matter, Yang-Mills and supergravity theories in harmonic superspace*, Class. Quantum Grav. **1** (1984) 469.
A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[54] E. Sokatchev, *Light-cone harmonic superspace and its applications*, Phys. Lett. **B169** (1986) 209. *Harmonic superparticle*, Class. Quantum Grav. **4** (1987) 237.

[55] E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva and S. Solomon, *Covariant canonical quantization of the Green-Schwarz superstring*, Nucl. Phys. **B297** (1988) 349.

[56] R. Kallosh and M. Rakhmanov, *Covariant quantization of the Green-Schwarz superstring*, Phys. Lett. **B209** (1988) 233.

[57] F. Delduc, A. Galperin and E. Sokatchev, *Lorentz-harmonic (super)fields and (super)particles*, Nucl. Phys. **B368** (1992) 143.

[58] A. Galperin, P.S. Howe and K.S. Stelle, *The superparticle and the Lorentz group*, Nucl. Phys. **B368** (1992) 248; `arXiv:hep-th/9201020`.

[59] E.T. Newman and R. Penrose, *An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients*, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962) 896.

[60] D.P. Sorokin, *Superbranes and superembeddings*, Phys. Rept. **329** (2000) 1; `arXiv:hep-th/9906142`.

[61] A.A. Zheltukhin, *Unification of twistors and Ramond vectors*, Phys. Lett. **B658** (2007) 82; `arXiv:0707.3453 [hep-th]`.

[62] N. Berkovits, *A supertwistor description of the massless superparticle in ten-dimensional superspace*, Nucl. Phys. **B350** (1991) 193.

[63] J. van Holten and A. van Proeyen, *N=1 supersymmetry algebra in* $d = 2, 3, 4 mod 8$, J. Phys. **A15** (1982) 3763.

[64] D.M. Gitman and I.V. Tyutin, *Quantization of fields with constraints*, Springer, 1990.

[65] S. Carlip, *Heterotic string path intergrals with the Green-Schwarz covariant action*, Nucl. Phys. **B284** (1987) 365.

[66] D.V. Uvarov, *Gauge symmetries of strings in supertwistor space*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A22** (2007) 1663; `arXiv:hep-th/0606222`.